

Generic Interference Assessment Using a Wide-Range Propagation Model

Chris Haslett, Principal Propagation Adviser July 2010



Standard Approach to Spectrum Licence Management



- Specific services selected by the regulator
- Services allocated to specific bands with size spectrum block determined by the regulator
- Empty spectrum (guard bands) between allocations
- Assignment done within each block



Liberalised Approach to Spectrum use



Generic Radio Modelling Tool

Evaluate licence requests and "Change of Use" (CoU) proposals in a way that is:

- Transparent
- Technologically Neutral
- Evidence driven

©Ofcom



A Generic Radio Modelling Tool (GRMT) – Technical Approach

Key problem to address:

• Want a technology neutral generic interference analysis tool with ability to analyse interference from any licence into any other

Proposed solution:

- Select a generic measure ("benchmark") of spectrum quality the SQB
- Define licence rights via a standard data format GRMT's Technology Neutral Radio Parameters (TNRPs)
- Develop the GRMT Algorithm which can calculate interference between any licences in this TNRP format and compare against the SQB



SQB Format Selected

- Propose following format:
 - Interference at the receiver should not exceed X dBW for more than Y % of the time [at more than Z % of locations]
- Regulatory basis for this format:
 - Interference is a generic, measurable, transparent, measure of spectrum quality
 - Licence applications should be judged on interference they generate not on how other systems are planned
- Technical basis for this format:
 - Interference levels can be derived from existing thresholds (e.g. in Ofcom Technical Frequency Assignment Criteria TFACs)
 - Interference can be used by system designers as input into planning process
 - Interference is computationally least intense
- Single entry threshold (in-band or adjacent band) which can be derived from aggregate interference limits



Using Templates to create Standard Spectrum Products

TNRPs are a rich data dictionary of radio parameters – standard products have much simpler requirements for parameters GRMT includes the following *Templates* that map from standard spectrum products onto TNRP data format:

- Point to point single-direction
 FS
- Point to point bi-directional FS
- 3G-FDD cell single sector
- 3G-FDD cell three sectors
- 3G-TDD cell single sector
- GSM cell single sector
- GSM cell three sectors
- Land-mobile simplex
- Land-mobile duplex

- Land-mobile mobile to mobile
- DVB-T network
- T-DAB network
- DVB-H cell
- Transmit satellite earth station
- Receive satellite earth station
- Bi-directional satellite earth
 station
- Satellite RSA
- Radio astronomy site



GRMT – Examination Tests





Templates, Tests & Jobs

- Map licence template to set of TNRP's TX & RX Systems
 - Test 1: Check parameters in range
- Search database to identify:
 - Test 2: Potentially affected licences
 - Test 3: Potentially affecting licences
- Break results of search into series of Jobs comprising:
 - One RX System e.g. Licence B {RX_i}
 - At least one TX System e.g. Licence A {TX₁, TX₂, ...}









But....how is the level of interference predicted?

- Plethora of propagation models exist giving users a bewildering choice
- Most models highly restrictive in applicability
- Users often invited to select different models depending on whether the prediction is of a wanted or unwanted signal
- A Generic Interference Prediction method must be consistent
- A need was identified for a more appropriate "wide-range" propagation model

Settings Propagation Model

ttings Propagation Model
Wanted Unwanted
Propagation Model Frequency Range Free Space Model 1Hz-300GHz Sky Wave Model 150kHz1.7MHz Ground Wave Model 3kHz-100MHz ITU533 Shortwave Model 3kHz-100MHz ITU533 Shortwave Model 30MHz-10GHz ITU533 Shortwave Model 30MHz-10GHz ITU1546 V4 Model 30MHz-10GHz ITU1546 V4 Model 30 MHz-1500MHz ITU1546 V4 Model 30 MHz-1500MHz Okumura Hata Model 1 1500kHz200MHz Okumura Hata Model 2 1500MHz200MHz ITU452 Microwave Model 800MHz-70GHz ITU452 Microwave Model 30MHz-10GHz ITU 518 Model 2 GHz - 600 GHz Aeronautical Model 30MHz-10GHz ITU 587 Model 30MHz-10GHz ITU 587 Model 30MHz-10GHz ITU 587 Model 30MHz-10GHz ITU 567 Model 30MHz-10GHz
O Use unwanted as standard
OK Cancel





Propagation model wish list

- Wide frequency range (30 MHz to 50 GHz is present objective)
- Large range of distances (to be determined but at least up to 1000 km)
- Outputs c.d.f. of path loss between any two points against time over a wide range of time percentages ("0% to 100%")
- Will use a general path profile together with geographic characteristics (e.g. rain rate) as inputs
- Free of discontinuities and non-monotonic behaviour
- Software implementable
- Efficient to run on a computer



An aside: the parabolic equation method (Craig and Levy)





Step 1: Identify relevant propagation mechanisms ("sub-models")

- Line-of-sight: clear air enhancements and fading
- Diffraction
- Ducting
- Tropospheric scatter
- Rain attenuation
- Gaseous absorption
- Sporadic E



- Required frequency range is 30 MHz 50 GHz
- P.452 has a ducting model valid above 700 MHz
- P.1812 has a lower frequency bound of 30 MHz with predictions of signal strength exceeded for only 1% of time.
 - Accuracy of low time percentages at low frequencies has been questioned
 - Investigation involved re-examination of previous measurement campaigns



- Bulk of measurements come from a single campaign
- Five links at four different frequencies (94 MHz, 187 MHz, 560 MHz, 774 MHz)





- Bulk of measurements come from a single campaign
- Five links at four different frequencies (94 MHz, 187 MHz, 560 MHz, 774 MHz)



Flamborough Head (265km)



- P.1812 is a first attempt at converting curve based predictions at VHF to equations, adopting a similar approach to P.452.
- Improvement is required in performance at low VHF in particular.



Flamborough Head (265km)





• A frequency-based empirical correction factor produces a close fit to measurements.





- Diffraction is still a "thorn in the side" of propagation scientists
 - It's just not as straightforward as you think it should be





$$L_d = L_{da} - L_{ds} + L_{sph}$$

• A novel "delta method" eliminates the need for an empirical correction.





• This new method has a strong reliance on the semi-deterministic Spherical Diffraction model within P.526-10





• "Logical" method of interpolating between free space and the radio horizon produces an anomaly when variation against time is considered.





- A new method of interpolating has been proposed to rectify this anomaly.
- This makes the delta method a realistic way of predicting diffraction loss.
- The question of what method to use to analyse the profile remains open.



Benefits of international peer review and collaboration



• A more elegant interpolation method developed by the Australian administration



Combination of sub-models:

- **Correlated losses:** losses can be combined by power-summation. E.g. ducting, diffraction, tropospheric scatter.
- Losses due to mutually exclusive mechanisms: This is more complicated, because in general it requires the models to be iterated towards the loss for which the separate values of *p*% sum to the required value. E.g. rain and clear air fading.
- **Statistically-independent losses:** The most complicated situation. This requires the separate loss probability distributions to be combined. One solution is to use a Monte-Carlo method. Closed form solution a possibility.
- Interaction of mechanisms: correlated losses act such as the one giving the lowest transmission loss will dominate; but mechanisms such as rain fading and gaseous absorption cause additional attenuation.

Combination of sub-models:







Conclusions and further work

- A Generic Assessment engine has been demonstrated as capable of assessing interference between different services
- The evident need for an improved propagation model has been addressed
- Description of new model to be input to ITU-R Study Group 3 before November 2010
- Further study into improved urban "end-correction" model is ongoing
- Acknowledgments: Ken Craig (Signal Science), Richard Rudd (Aegis Systems), David Bacon (dBSpectrum Services), Mike Willis (Rutherford-Appleton Laboratory), John Pahl (Transfinite), Alastair Taylor (Transfinite)